Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/560,605	TYAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diana B. Johannsen	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Diana Johannsen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark Skoog.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2011	Time: NA (telephonic)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: all pending, particularly 32-33		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Notice of Allowability/Examiner's Amendment		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Diana B. Johannsen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)